

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/828,292	04/21/2004	Koji Shimazawa	119514	4807
25944 7590 01/02/2008 OLIFF & BERRIDGE, PLC P.O. BOX 320850			EXAMINER	
			RENNER, CRAIG A	
ALEXANDRIA, VA 22320-4850			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2627	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			01/02/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Assistant Commencer	10/828,292	SHIMAZAWA ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Craig A. Renner	2627				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period was realized to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 16(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tiruly 17/11/11/11/11/11/11/11/11/11/11/11/11/1	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 30 Oc	<u>ctober 2007</u> .					
,—						
	3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims						
4) Claim(s) <u>13-17</u> is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>13-17</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	r election requirement.					
Application Papers						
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine	г.					
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ acc		Examiner.				
Applicant may not request that any objection to the						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a	a)-(d) or (f).				
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:						
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage						
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).						
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	y (PTO-413) Date					
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date. Notice of Informal Patent Application						
Paper No(s)/Mail Date 6) Other:						

10/828,292 Art Unit: 2627

DETAILED ACTION

Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114

1. A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on 30 October 2007 has been entered.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 2. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

 The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 3. Claims 13-17 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.
- a. In lines 20-21 of claim 13, "wherein the first gap film is thicker than the magnetic domain controlling layers to suppress the effects of a defective side lobe" is indefinite as it misdescriptive of the disclosure, which teaches/shows that "the <u>total</u> thickness G1+Gsv of the MR film 30 and the first gap layer 305 can be set larger than

10/828,292 Art Unit: 2627

the thickness of the magnetic domain controlling layers 21 and 22" in paragraph [0063], for instance (emphasis added).

b. Claims 14-17 inherit the indefiniteness associated with independent claim13 and stand rejected as well.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 5. Claims 13-17 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Hayashi et al. (US 2003/0035256).

Hayashi et al. (US 2003/0035256) teaches a magnetoresistive effective element (Fig. 3, for instance) comprising a first shielding layer (2), a second shielding layer (12), a magnetoresistive effective film (includes 4, 5, 6, and 7), a first gap film (8), a pair of magnetic domain controlling layers (each 10), and a bottom electrode layer (3), the first shielding layer and the second shielding layer being separated by a given distance (as shown in Fig. 3, for instance), the magnetoresistive effective film being disposed in between the first shielding layer and the second shielding layer (as shown in Fig. 3, for instance), the first gap film being made of electrical conductive material (lines 1-4 in paragraph [0122] on page 7, for instance), and formed on the magnetoresistive effective

10/828,292

film commensurate with a surface configuration of the magnetoresistive effective film (as shown in Fig. 3, for instance), the magnetic domain controlling layers surround and extend along both sides of the magnetoresistive effective film, respectively (as shown in Fig. 3, for instance), the bottom electrode layer being electrically connected to the magnetoresistive effective film on a side away from the first gap film (as shown in Fig. 3, for instance), the bottom electrode layer constituting one of a pair of second gap layers (as shown in Fig. 3, for instance), the second shielding layer functioning as a top electrode layer (lines 7-8 in paragraph [0063] on page 3, for instance) electrically connected to the first gap film (as shown in Fig. 3, for instance), and the second

shielding layer constituting the other of the pair of second gap layers (as shown in Fig. 3, for instance), wherein a total thickness of the magnetoresistive effective film and the first gap film is greater than the magnetic domain controlling layers to suppress the effects of a defective side lobe (as shown in Fig. 3, for instance, in so far as this limitation is definite and understood as detailed in paragraph 3a, supra) [as per claim 13]; wherein the magnetoresistive effective film is made of a spin valve film or a ferromagnetic tunnel junction film (as shown in Fig. 3, for instance) [as per claim 14]; wherein the first gap film is made of metal (lines 1-4 in paragraph [0122] on page 7, for

instance) [as per claim 15]; wherein a total thickness of the magnetoresistive effective

controlling layers (as shown in Fig. 3, for instance) [as per claim 16]; and wherein both

sides of the second shielding layer are depressed at both sides of the magnetoresistive

film and the first gap film is set larger than a thickness of the magnetic domain

10/828,292 Art Unit: 2627

effective film in a front view, respectively (as shown in Fig. 3, for instance) [as per claim 17].

Response to Arguments

6. Applicant's arguments with respect to the claims have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Conclusion

7. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Craig A. Renner whose telephone number is (571) 272-7580. The examiner can normally be reached on Tuesday-Friday 9:00 AM - 7:30 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, A. L. Wellington can be reached on (571) 272-4483. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a

10/828,292 Art Unit: 2627 Page 6

USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Craig A. Renner
Primary Examiner
Art Unit 2627

CAR